

# UC Davis

## UC Davis Previously Published Works

### Title

Comparative population genetics of the immunity gene, Relish: is adaptive evolution idiosyncratic?

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8vm935b4>

### Journal

PloS one, 2(5)

### ISSN

1932-6203

### Authors

Levine, Mia T  
Begun, David J

### Publication Date

2007-05-01

### DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0000442

Peer reviewed

# Comparative Population Genetics of the Immunity Gene, *Relish*: Is Adaptive Evolution Idiosyncratic?

Mia T. Levine\*, David J. Begun

Center for Population Biology, University of California at Davis, Davis, California, United States of America

The frequency of adaptive evolution acting on common loci in distant lineages remains an outstanding question in evolutionary biology. We asked whether the immunity factor, *Relish*, a gene with a history of directional selection in *Drosophila simulans*, shows evidence of a similar selective history in other *Drosophila* species. We found only weak evidence of recurrent adaptive protein evolution at the *Relish* locus in three sister species pairs, suggesting that this key component of the insect immune system has an idiosyncratic evolutionary history in *Drosophila*.

Citation: Levine MT, Begun DJ (2007) Comparative Population Genetics of the Immunity Gene, *Relish*: Is Adaptive Evolution Idiosyncratic?. PLoS ONE 2(5): e442. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000442

## INTRODUCTION

Convergent phenotypic evolution, which results from similar selection pressures in independent lineages, is a common, undisputed property of animal and plant evolution. The frequency of convergent adaptive molecular evolution, however, remains an open question. Convergent adaptive molecular variants may include both amino acid polymorphism [1–6] and amino acid divergence [7–9]. The relatively few examples of such convergent changes are based largely on molecular and functional analysis of proteins with well-defined structures and functions; consequently, the inference of convergent adaptive polymorphism or divergence allows plausible arguments to be made regarding the phenotypic adaptive effects of particular mutations in different lineages. Most gene products are not understood sufficiently well to use such an approach.

An alternative, statistical approach is to use molecular population genetic data to ask whether directional selection is repeatable over evolutionary time. For example, the McDonald-Kreitman test [10], which uses contrasts of polymorphic and fixed variants to test the neutral model of molecular evolution, requires no knowledge of protein structure or specific functions of residues or domains. This allows one to ask the general question of whether a gene with a history of recurrent adaptive protein evolution in one species is likely to have a similar selective history in other species; that is, is directional selection idiosyncratic or predictable? For example, the *Drosophila* seminal fluid protein gene *Acp26Aa* was first inferred to have a history of recurrent adaptive protein evolution in the *melanogaster* subgroup [11]. *Acp26Aa* was later shown to be under such selection in the *obscura* group of *Drosophila* [12], which diverged from the *melanogaster* subgroup tens of millions of years ago.

The *Drosophila* innate immune system transcription factor, *Relish*, is a potentially interesting gene for addressing the question of predictable versus idiosyncratic directional selection. Previous studies demonstrate that the innate immune system, a highly conserved pathway from insects to humans, is vulnerable to signaling disruption by both bacterial and viral pathogens. Moreover, *Relish* activation and/or signaling repeatedly emerges as a pathogen target. In a vertebrate system, Neish et al. [13] demonstrate that *Yersinia* bacteria disrupts phosphorylation of the human *Relish* homolog, NF- $\kappa$ B. In an insect system (*Drosophila melanogaster*), Lindmark et al. [14] and Thoetkiattikul et al. [15] demonstrate *Relish* signaling disruption by various bacteria and a polydnvirus, respectively.

Compromised immune response in the presence of these pathogens, combined with documented *Relish*-pathogen interactions, makes this locus a likely target for repeated host-pathogen

evolutionary interactions in distantly related taxa. Nevertheless, population genetic data for the *Relish* locus provided strong evidence of adaptive divergence in *D. simulans*, but no evidence of adaptive divergence in *D. melanogaster* [16]. Similarly, the termite *Relish* locus appears to be rapidly evolving in a subset of lineages [17]. Although *Relish* likely contributes to immune function in all species examined, the evolutionary dynamics associated with this locus are dramatically different across lineages. To further investigate the repeatability of directional selection at this locus in *Drosophila*, we characterized the evolutionary forces acting on *Relish* across three highly diverged sister species-pairs, *D. mojavensis*/*D. arizonae*, *D. yakuba*/*D. teissieri*, and *D. pseudoobscura*/*D. miranda*.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Levels of synonymous and nonsynonymous polymorphism at *Relish* (Table 1) were consistent with previous descriptions *Drosophila mojavensis*/*D. arizonae* [18], whereas lower than expected levels of variation were estimated for *D. yakuba* [19] and *D. pseudoobscura* [20]. Levels of *Relish* synonymous divergence in these species pairs were typical of those estimated at other genes. Levels of non-synonymous divergence (scaled to synonymous divergence), however, were highly heterogeneous across species, suggesting the protein evolutionary rates vary due to heterogeneous selection regimes (Table 1).

We used the McDonald-Kreitman test to determine whether synonymous and non-synonymous variation at *Relish* supports the hypothesis of adaptive protein evolution. All three species pairs failed to reject the null hypothesis of neutral evolution (Table 2). The *D. simulans*/*D. melanogaster* species pair is the only one associated with evidence of adaptive protein evolution at *Relish* [16].

Academic Editor: Matthew Hahn, Indiana University, United States of America

Received March 16, 2007; Accepted April 19, 2007; Published May 16, 2007

Copyright: © 2007 Levine, Begun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was funded by National Science Foundation grant DEB-0327049 and National Institute of Health grant GM071926. MTL was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mialevine@ucdavis.edu

**Table 1.** Polymorphism and divergence for all species examined as well as previously published estimates for *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*.

Polymorphism				
Species	# lines	#sites	$\pi$ (syn.)	$\pi$ (nonsyn.)
<i>D. melanogaster</i>	6	2801	0.036	0.022
<i>D. simulans</i>	7	2801	0.062	0.029
<i>D. yakuba</i>	8	2303	0.0034	0.0
<i>D. tessieri</i>	5	2303	0.042	0.0019
<i>D. mojavensis</i>	6	2792	0.018	0.0012
<i>D. arizonae</i>	6	2792	0.015	0.0012
<i>D. pseudoobscura</i>	6	2191	0.0062	0.00065
<i>D. miranda</i>	1	2191	n/a	n/a
Divergence				
Species Pair	Ks*	Ka*	Ka/Ks	
<i>D. mel/D. sim</i>	0.099	0.052	0.53	
<i>D. yak/D. teiss</i>	0.088	0.0044	0.05	
<i>D. moj/D. ariz</i>	0.062	0.0064	0.10	
<i>D. pseudo/D. mir</i>	0.057	0.017	0.30	

\*Ka and Ks refer to the nonsynonymous and synonymous substitution rates, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000442.t001

Low levels of polymorphism at *Relish* in *D. yakuba* and *D. pseudoobscura* could be due to recent, strong directional selection at *Relish* or at linked sites. We used the HKA test [21] to determine whether the polymorphism-to-divergence ratios at *Relish* were unusual compared to those from the putatively neutral loci *Xdh* in *D. yakuba/D. tessieri*, (J. Comeron pers. comm.) and *Adh* in *D. pseudoobscura/D. miranda* [22]. Only the *D. yakuba/D. tessieri* data rejected the null ( $\chi^2 = 6.39$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ), which is consistent with linked selection in this region of the *D. yakuba* genome. The *Relish* gene is near the middle of chromosome arm 3R in *D. yakuba* (*D. yakuba* genome assembly, v2), which suggests that this result is not due to sampling a large region of reduced polymorphism near centromeres and telomeres [23]. Further analysis of the regions flanking *Relish* is necessary to determine the extent of reduced polymorphism in this genomic region.

The *Relish* population genetic data from three, distantly related, *Drosophila* species pairs generally supports the idea that *Relish*

**Table 2.** McDonald-Kreitman tests of *Relish* variation for four species pairs.

Species	Synonymous		Nonsynonymous		G (p-value)
	Fixed	Polymorphic	Fixed	Polymorphic	
<i>D. yak/D. teiss</i>	28	50	5	7	0.15 (0.70)
<i>D. pse/D. mir</i>	24	7	24	3	1.37 (0.24)
<i>D. moj/D. ariz</i>	14	44	7	13	0.86 (0.35)
<sup>a</sup> <i>D. mel/D. sim</i>	40	41	89	10	37.5 ( $<10^{-4}$ )

<sup>a</sup>[16]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000442.t002

evolution in the *D. melanogaster/D. simulans* pair is highly unusual. Previous analyses of *D. melanogaster/D. simulans* suggest that evidence of strong directional selection at *Relish* is most likely a *D. simulans*-lineage phenomenon [16]. This finding raises the interesting question of what *D. simulans*-specific biological or historical attributes caused the highly unusual history of a key component of the insect immune system.

## METHODS

Population samples of *Relish* were sequenced from inbred lines of *D. yakuba* (P. Andolfatto), *D. tessieri* (M. Long), *D. mojavensis* (W. Etges and Tucson Stock Center), *D. arizonae* (W. Etges), *D. pseudoobscura* (M. Noor), *D. miranda* (Tucson Stock Center). Most data were obtained by direct sequencing. For the few lines with residual heterozygosity, PCR products were cloned in PCR-4 vector (Topo TA cloning kit, Invitrogen) and individual colonies were sequenced. Population genetic estimators and tests statistics were calculated in DnaSP v.4.0 (Rozas et al. 2003). Sequence data for this paper have been submitted to Genbank under accession numbers EF494515-EF494539.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the two anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

## Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DB ML. Performed the experiments: ML. Analyzed the data: ML. Wrote the paper: DB ML.

## REFERENCES

- Charlesworth D, Awadalla P (1998) Flowering plant self-incompatibility: the molecular population genetics of Brassica S-loci. *Heredity* 81: 1–9.
- Hughes A (1999) Adaptive Evolution of Genes and Genomes Oxford University Press: New York, pp 54–89.
- French-Constant RH, Anthony N, Aronstein K, Rocheleau T, Stilwell G (2000) Cyclodiene insecticide resistance: from molecular to population genetics. *Ann Rev Ent* 48: 447–464.
- Rees JL (2003) Genetics of hair and skin color. *Annu Rev Genet* 37: 67–90.
- Mundy NI, Badcock NS, Hart T, Scribner K, Janssen, et al. (2004) Conserved genetic basis of a quantitative plumage trait involved in mate choice *Science* 303: 1870–1873.
- Soong TW, Venkatesh B (2006) Adaptive evolution of tetrodotoxin resistance in animals. *Trends Genet* 22: 621–626.
- Perutz MF (1983) Species adaptation in a protein molecule. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 1: 1–28.
- Stewart CB, Schilling JW, Wilson AC (1987) Adaptive evolution in the stomach lysozymes of foregut fermenters. *Nature* 330: 401–404.
- Yokoyama S, Yokoyama R (1996) Adaptive evolution of photoreceptors and visual pigments of vertebrates. *Ann Rev Ecol Sys* 27: 543–567.
- McDonald JL, Kreitman M (1991) Adaptive protein evolution at the *Adh* locus in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 351: 652–654.
- Aguade M (1997) Positive selection and the molecular evolution of a gene of male reproduction, *Acp26Aa* of *Drosophila*. *Mol Biol Evol* 14: 544–549.
- Wagstaff BJ, Begun DJ (2005) Comparative Genomics of accessory gland protein genes in *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. pseudoobscura*. *Mol Biol Evol* 22: 818–832.
- Neish AS, Gewirtz AT, Zeng H, Young AN, Hobert ME, et al. Prokaryotic regulation of epithelial responses by inhibition of I $\kappa$ B- $\alpha$  ubiquitination. *Science* 289: 1560–1563.
- Lindmark H, Johansson KC, Stoven S, Hultmark D, Engstrom Y, et al. (2001) Enteric bacteria counter lipopolysaccharide induction of antimicrobial peptide genes. *J. Immunol.* 167: 6920–6923.
- Thoenetkikul H, Beck M, Strand MR (2005) Inhibitor  $\kappa$ B-like proteins from a polydnavirus inhibit NF- $\kappa$ B activation and suppress the insect immune response. *PNAS* 102: 11426–11431.

16. Begun DJ, Whitley P (2000) Adaptive evolution of relish, a *Drosophila* NF-kappaB/IkappaB protein. *Genetics* 154: 1231–1238.
17. Bulmer MS, Crozier RH (2005) Variation in positive selection in Termite GNBPs and Relish. *Mol Biol Evol* 23: 317–326.
18. Wagstaff BJ, Begun DJ (2005) Molecular population genetics of accessory gland protein genes and testis-expressed genes in *Drosophila mojavensis* and *D. arizonae*. *Genetics* 171: 1083–1101.
19. Llopart A, Lachaise D, Coyne J (2005) Multilocus analysis of introgression between two sympatric sister species of *Drosophila*: *Drosophila yakuba* and *D. santomea*. *Genetics* 171: 197–210.
20. Schaeffer SW, Walthour CS, Tolen DM, Olek AT, Miller EL (2001) Protein variation in ADH and ADH-RELATED in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*: Linkage disequilibrium between single nucleotide polymorphisms and protein alleles. *Genetics* 159: 673–687.
21. Hudson RR, Kreitman M, Aguadé M (1987) A test of neutral molecular evolution based on nucleotide data. *Genetics* 116: 153–159.
22. Schaeffer SW, Miller EL (1991) Nucleotide sequence analysis of Adh genes estimates the time of geographic isolation of the Bogota population of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 88: 6097–6101.
23. Aguade M, Miyashita N, Langley CH (1989) Reduced variation in the yellow-achaete-scute region in natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 122: 607–615.